

ANNEX 1

GRANT AWARDING CRITERIA STSM

1. Relevance to TREASURE (up to 40)

- | | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| • Relevance (up to 15) | Scientific excellence and novelty of the proposed STSM |
| • Working Groups (WG) (up to 15) | The application addresses the objectives of TREASURE and contributes to the work of one or several WGs |
| • Applicant (up to 5) | Representation from different ITC countries |
| • Host institution (up to 5) | Representation from different ITC countries |

2. Quality of work plan (up to 40)

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| • Clear workplan (up to 10) | The clarity of the proposal, time plan and described activities |
| • Host institution (up to 10) | The host institution supports the applicant in achieving the objectives of the STSM |
| • Outcomes (up to 10) | Feasibility of the approach, realistic planning of the application, and outputs |
| • Dissemination (up to 10) | The ability of the STSM applicant to successfully complete the proposed STSM and disseminate relevant outputs (scientific articles, conference contributions, or others) |

3. Applicant's CV (up to 10)

- Applicant Research career in line with the work proposal to be carried out

4. Budget plan (up to 10)

- Duration/budget ratio Optimality of budget request for requested period and planed activities

The selection will follow the COST policies on inclusiveness (gender, age, geography). The final ranking will be approved by the Grant Awarding Coordinator and Action Chair. To avoid conflict of interest when evaluating the applications, applicants who either work at the same place or will visit the same place of a committee member will not be evaluated by that member.