

ANNEX 1

GRANT AWARDING CRITERIA STSM

1. Relevance to TREASURE (up to 40)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| • Relevance (up to 15) | Scientific excellence and novelty of the proposed STSM |
| • Working Groups (WG) (up to 15) | The application addresses the objectives of TREASURE and contributes to the work of one or several WGs |
| • Applicant (ITC country) (up to 10) | Affiliated to an institution in an ITC country never awarded during the Action period |

2. Quality of work plan (up to 40)

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| • Clear workplan (up to 10) | The clarity of the proposal, time plan and described activities |
| • Host institution (up to 10) | The host institution supports the applicant in achieving the objectives of the STSM |
| • Outcomes (up to 10) | Feasibility of the approach, realistic planning of the application, and outputs |
| • Dissemination (up to 10) | The ability of the STSM applicant to successfully complete the proposed STSM and disseminate relevant outputs (scientific articles, conference contributions, or others) |

3. Applicant's CV (up to 10)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Applicant | Research career in line with the work proposal to be carried out |
|---|--|

4. Budget plan (up to 10)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Duration/budget ratio | Optimisation of the budget for the requested period and planned activities |
|---|--|

The final ranking will be approved by the Grant Awarding Coordinator and Action Chair.

To avoid conflict of interest when evaluating the applications, applicants who either work at the same place or will visit the same place of a committee member will not be evaluated by that member, but by an independent evaluator.