

ANNEX 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA TS

1. Relevance to TREASURE (up to 20)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Relevance (up to 10) | Scientific excellence and novelty of the proposed TS in relation to TRASURES' objectives. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential implications (up to 10) | Potential benefits of the proposed TS for trainers (e.g., networking with colleagues and the next generation of researchers working in the same area; setting the “standard” and/or shaping new and emerging areas of knowledge; developing and improving their own teaching materials) and trainees (e.g., meeting and networking with the area's peers and leaders and building the next generation of researchers; getting critical knowledge and skills to deepen their own research; creating potential for career opportunities). |

2. Feasibility of proposal (up to 15)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Balance between recommended duration and proposed activities (e.g., theoretical lessons, practical exercises) (up to 10) | Lasting a minimum of 3 days while guaranteeing the achievement of the TS's objectives. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Respect of recommended trainers: trainees ratio (up to 5) | Scheduling a ratio of at least 3 Trainees to 1 Trainer. |

1. Proposed Trainers' CV

and host institution sustainability (up to 15)

- Trainers (up to 10) Recognized expertise in the topics of the proposed TS.
- Host institution (up to 5) Capacity to host and support the TS for the entire duration.

To avoid conflict of interest when evaluating the applications, Training School Committee members who either apply for hosting the TS or work at the same place as the applicant or have an ongoing continuative collaboration with the applicant will not participate in the evaluation process.